STATE DEPT. PEDOPHILIA COVER-UP?

At a June Senate Finance Committee, it was reported that the State Department found in 2010 that the majority of domestic victims enslaved in the sex industry are runaway and homeless youth. It was not said whether the child that was allegedly raped by the U.S. Ambassador to Belgium was homeless. Perhaps that is because the investigation into what Howard Gutman did was spiked by Patrick Kennedy, the Undersecretary of State for Management.

The State Department under Secretary of State Hillary Clinton seems to have been infinitely more concerned about punishing whistleblowers than pedophiles. Aurelia Fedensin, a former senior inspector general investigator at the State Department, said Gutman "routinely ditched his protective security detail in order to solicit sexual favors from both prostitutes and minor children." Evidently, lots of people knew about it and did nothing. Gutman raised a half-million dollars for Obama in 2008 and helped finance his inaugural.

Worse, the Inspector General's (IG) office compromised its independence by lying about the events: Assistant Secretary of State for Diplomatic Security, Eric Boswell, ordered reference to pedophilia deleted, and the IG acceded to the request. As for Fedensin, she was threatened with criminal charges, but nothing was done about the child rapist.

One State Department official said that investigations sometimes result in disciplinary actions that aren't made public. If the State Department wants to punish its johns internally, that is of no interest to the Catholic League. But when priests are being removed from ministry for "boundary violations," and bishops are being pressured to step down because they didn't sufficiently supervise a former groping

priest, it is unconscionable— if these stories are true—that State Department higher-ups not be punished for refusing to contact the authorities about a suspected child rapist, and then engaging in a cover-up.

There has been a rash of stories about State Department employees taking drugs and cavorting with prostitutes. While all of these alleged crimes are reprehensible, the Catholic League only has interest in the charge that Gutman "routinely ditched his protective security detail in order to solicit sexual favors from both prostitutes and minor children." (Our italics.)

No media outlet was more outraged over minors being molested by priests than the *Boston Globe*, but it has shown no interest in this story; it did not run a single piece on it. The *New York Times* ran one story; the *Washington Post* ran one story, but unlike the *Times*, it never mentioned "minor children"; the *Los Angeles Times*, like the *Globe*, ignored the story altogether.

Most disturbing was CBS News. It deserved credit for breaking the story, but what it did on June 11 was indefensible. Here is what it said: "One specific example mentioned in the [Inspector General's] memo refers to the 2011 investigations into an ambassador who 'routinely ditched...his protective security detail,' and inspectors suspect this was in order to 'solicit sexual favors from prostitutes.'"

Now compare the last sentence in the paragraph above to the italicized sentence quoted in the earlier paragraph. Missing is any reference to "minor children." This was not a mistake: by excising reference to "minor children," it demanded that the reporter also excise the word "both." He did.

The media in general has shown an almost unethical amount of disinterest in this issue. What it really shows, in the long run, is that the criticism against the Church in particular is

in fact politically motivated.

In short, current allegations of child rape by government officials are far less interesting to the media than decadesold stories about priests. Let's face it: the media, as well as pundits (and "comedians" like Bill Maher), are not interested in kids. Their interest is in the identity of the offender.