

# RIGHTS OF BABY CUT FROM WOMB UNCERTAIN

On July 27, a Massachusetts woman was found mutilated to death: the murderer cut her uterus open and stole the woman's baby of eight months.

We were curious as to what the pro-abortion community would say to the woman's family who wanted the baby back. We also wondered if this child would be covered under the universal health care bills being considered. We noted that when President Obama was in the Illinois state senate, he led the fight to deny health care to babies born as a result of a botched abortion. It is not certain what he would say if asked whether physicians should attend to this baby.

Nor is it certain what one of his science advisors would say. John P. Holdren, director of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, co-authored a book in the 1970s wherein he maintained that an unborn child "*after birth, will ultimately develop* into a human being." (Our italics.) This would seem to indicate that this baby, in particular, isn't a human being. In the 1970s, Holdren wrote that "natural objects" like trees should be given standing in court. He maintained that by allowing trees to sue, it would have a "most salubrious" effect on the environment.

In other words, it is questionable whether kids who survive an abortion or a murderer's knife are entitled to health care, but it is about time that rights are granted to weeping willows. And this was supposed to be the most ethical administration in American history. It just doesn't get any sicker than this.