

CBS SIDES WITH THE BISHOPS

Bill Donohue

CBS, quite like the other broadcast networks, is not known for taking the side of the U.S. bishops. But now that Donald Trump is president, things are changing.

On the January 26 edition of the CBS show, “Face the Nation,” Margaret Brennan grilled Vice President J.D. Vance for being oppositional to the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops.

Clearly taking the side of the bishops, she pressed Vance, who is Catholic, on why the Trump administration would disagree with the bishops on the issue of sending law enforcement into schools and churches to round up illegal aliens who have committed violent crimes. Vance stood his ground, arguing that the roundup is exactly what the public wants Trump to do.

[Note: The Immigration and Nationality Act prohibits anyone from knowingly harboring an undocumented immigrant “in any place, including any building.”]

We have been following CBS for decades, and this stance is certainly “breaking news” to us. We can’t remember when CBS has been so supportive of the bishops on any issue. But we do have a file on its Catholic-bashing reporting over the years.

It’s not just CBS. Lots of media—from Axios to USA Today—picked up on the “Face the Nation” interview, effectively defending the bishops. Where were all of these pro-Catholic media outlets when churches were being closed during Covid—while liquor stores remained open?

More important, there is no record of Brennan, or any CBS journalists, ever trying to pin the Biden administration’s policies against the bishops, even though it was led by a

“devout Catholic.”

Five months into Biden’s term, [we issued a 12-page-report](#), “President Biden’s Policies: Departures From Catholic Teachings.” Our report of June 15, 2021, which listed one example after another, was sent to every bishop in the nation.

At the end of Biden’s term, when Vice President Kamala Harris was selected to challenge Trump, [we issued a 22-page-report](#), “Harris and Trump On Religious Liberty,” comparing Trump’s first term to the Biden-Harris years. Released on May 2, 2024, we found that much of the Biden administration’s record was at odds with the policies of the bishops’ conference, yet the media were wholly disinterested in reporting on this.

Here is a partial list of the policies that the Biden administration promoted that were against the positions enunciated by the bishops.

- Abortion
- Transgender issues (including the evisceration of women sports and privacy rights)
- School choice
- Neutering faith-based programs
- The Department of Justice’s probe of Catholics
- Support for the Equality Act (which would gut religious liberty)
- Rescinding Trump’s policies defending the rights of Christian students on college campuses
- Forcing Catholic doctors and hospitals to perform abortions and sex-reassignment surgery
- Singling out pro-life Catholics for breaking the FACE Act
- Taking away the conscience rights of healthcare workers

CBS, as well as all the other mainstream media outlets, showed no interest in doing a story on any of these policy differences.

This is just one more reason why the public holds the media in such low regard. Their duplicity and bias is palpable.

Contact: brennanm@cbsnews.com

BIDEN TEED IT UP FOR PRO-LIFE PARDONS

Bill Donohue

President Trump deserves great credit for pardoning 23 pro-life protesters who were arrested under the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances (FACE) Act. Kudos are also deserving for the Thomas More Society and all the pro-life organizations that never gave up. But it would be remiss not to cite the pivotal role of President Biden's Department of Justice (DOJ).

Biden teed it up for Trump. Indeed, the selective application of the FACE Act, and other laws, made it morally and politically easy for Trump to pardon the protesters. To be exact, Biden's DOJ showed little interest in going after those who violated the FACE Act when they blocked crisis pregnancy centers. Even top officials in his administration admit to this.

In November 2023, FBI Director Christopher Wray testified that 70 percent of the FACE offenses were committed by abortion-rights activists. Yet they resulted in just two charges. Moreover, we learned from Associate Attorney General Vanita Gupta that pro-lifers were disproportionately targeted. Subsequently, it has been reported that 97 percent of FACE Act cases have been invoked against pro-life demonstrators.

It is not just the unequal application of the FACE Act that angers those in the pro-life community.

Where was law enforcement when Portland, Seattle, Minneapolis, Philadelphia, New York, and other cities were being burned during the riots following the death of George Floyd? Why were Antifa and Black Lives Matter allowed to beat and kill with impunity? Why were there no consequences for torching police stations and widespread looting? Why were pro-Hamas students and professional agitators allowed to block entrances to college campuses, terrorizing Jewish students?

If the police disproportionately arrest black offenders, it is called racial profiling. If those who espouse one point of view are routinely censored, but those who espouse a contrary view are not, it is called viewpoint discrimination. So what should we call it when pro-life demonstrators are arrested for breaking the FACE Act, and almost all the pro-abortion protesters get away with violating the same law? Pro-life profiling?

If a cop were to arrest everyone for going between 60 and 70 miles per hour in a 60 mile an hour speed zone, but almost never arrests those who go more than 70 miles an hour, we would want to know why.

With regard to Biden's corrupt DOJ, we already know why. The Biden administration was angry over the Supreme Court ruling overturning *Roe v. Wade*. Ergo, they unleashed the powers of law enforcement to go after pro-lifers.

That all of this happened during the tenure of a man who calls himself a "devout Catholic" makes it all the more sickening.

MONTANA DEMS ATTACK CONFSSIONAL

[Bill Donohue](#)

Seven Democratic Senators from Montana have introduced a bill that would eliminate the religious exemption from a mandatory reporting law for members of the clergy.

To read Bill Donohue's letter to Montana lawmakers, and many others, click [here](#).

Montana bill would jail priests for 5 years if they refuse to violate seal of confession

Bill in the News (LIFESITE): "There is not a scintilla of evidence that child abusers are confessing their sins to Catholic priests," Donohue stated. "Indeed, it strains credulity to argue that a person who is so depraved as to molest a child is likely to tell a priest about his behavior." [READ MORE HERE](#)

OPEN LETTER TO DC BISHOP WHO LECTURED TRUMP

Contact her at: mebudde@edow.org

January 22, 2025

Bishop Mariann Edgar Budde
Episcopal Church House
Mount St. Alban
Washington, D.C. 20016

Dear Bishop Budde:

At the January 21 prayer service at Washington National Cathedral that featured President Trump, you mentioned that “people in our country are scared now.” You singled out people who “may not be citizens or have the proper documentation,” as well as LGBTQ persons. These people “fear for their lives.” You then made a plea to “find compassion.”

Your commitment to compassion is noble, but it is misplaced.

I work in New York City, and I witness daily how many New Yorkers are “scared” and “fear for their lives.” They are afraid of being mugged, beaten, raped and killed, often by people who have crashed our borders, people you refer to as lacking “proper documentation.” They, and in some cases their surviving families, are deserving of compassion. More than that, they are deserving of justice, and that means that illegal alien criminals must be apprehended and deported.

You are right to call attention to violence against LGBTQ persons. They have every right to be “scared” and “fear for their lives.” What you don’t mention is that the people who are most likely to victimize them are people just like them. In other words, it is not heterosexual guys who are beating up

on gays, lesbians, bisexuals and transgender persons—it is people in their own ranks. It is called “intimate partner violence,” and the studies show how prevalent it is among LGBTQ persons.

In 2022, Psycom Pro, a psychiatry resource for clinicians, concluded that “More than half of transgender individuals experience partner violence or gender identity abuse.”

In 2020, seven experts published a study in the American Journal of Public Health on this subject and concluded that “Transgender individuals experience a dramatically higher prevalence of IPV [intimate partner violence] victimization compared with cisgender individuals [those who accept their sex identity], regardless of sex assigned at birth.”

The National Coalition Against Domestic Violence reviewed the literature on this subject and found that “43.8% of lesbian women and 61.1% of bisexual women have experienced rape, physical violence, and/or stalking by an intimate partner at some point in their lifetime, as opposed to 35% of cisgender women.” It also found that transgender individuals experienced the highest rate of intimate partner violence.

The Williams Institute, a think tank at UCLA Law, reviewed a number of studies on this subject. One of them found that “31.1% of transgender people and 20.4% of cisgender people had ever experienced IPV or dating violence.” It also said that three studies concluded that the lifetime intimate partner sexual violence prevalence among transgender people ranged from “25.0% to 47.0%.”

Even in sympathetic pop culture magazines, such as Portland Monthly, it is acknowledged that “statistically speaking, the most common perpetrators of violence against trans women are domestic partners.”

In short, misdirected compassion is not virtuous. You need to make a public statement addressing the fallacies of your

remarks.

Sincerely,

William A. Donohue, Ph.D.

President

POPE'S IDEA OF POOR MIGRANTS IS FLAWED

[Bill Donohue](#)

On the eve of the inauguration of President Donald Trump, Pope Francis called him a “disgrace” for pledging to deport illegal aliens. The pope said that doing so “makes poor wretches, who have nothing, pay the price for the imbalance.”

If the typical illegal alien were a “poor wretch” who owns “nothing,” the Holy Father’s criticism would have merit. But such is not the case. To be blunt, his understanding of who these migrants are is flawed.

Historically, the poorest of the poor are rarely, if ever, the ones who migrate from poor countries to rich countries. Quite frankly, the poorest of the poor are so undernourished, and physically disabled, that they don’t have the stamina to migrate. It’s those who don’t share these characteristics who migrate.

This observation is backed up by the social science. Oxford economist Paul Collier has spent much of his career studying the poorest people on earth. A summary of his work found that “Those who seek entry to wealthy countries are rarely the poorest of the poor; they are those with the resources and

skills necessary to migrate.”

This is certainly the case with those who have been crashing our southern border.

Migrants who are truly poor can't afford cell phones. But many of those who have made their way to the U.S. come with them. If they don't have one, U.S. taxpayers pay for them: we are spending over \$100 million a year supplying hundreds of thousands of illegal aliens with a smartphone. Moreover, if these migrants owned “nothing,” as the pope says, why is it that millions of them have money to pay the drug cartels, gangsters who exploit them in their quest for entry?

If these migrants were as poor as the pope thinks they are, why is it that most of them (54 percent) have a high school education or beyond? According to statistics provided by Migration Policy, 24 percent of “the unauthorized population” have a high school diploma or equivalent; 12 percent have some college or associate's degree; 18 percent have a bachelors, graduate, or professional degree.

In terms of family income, 74 percent are at or above the poverty line. Indeed, 43 percent make at or above 200 percent of the poverty line. These are not “poor wretches.”

Americans are a generous people, but they don't like to be played. Public officials, and those who police the border, have often noted how widespread the game of ripping off the taxpayers is. Even President Biden's Homeland Security Secretary, Alejandro Mayorkas, recently admitted that “The reality is that some people do indeed try to game the system.” These are not “poor wretches.”

A Pew Research Center survey found that almost 8-in-10 Americans (78 percent) believe that immigrants living illegally in the U.S. should not be eligible for public assistance. Also, “Majorities say immigrants living in the U.S. illegally are making things worse when it comes to public

resources such as housing, education and health care (66%), crime (63%), and the economy (54%).”

Where is the compassion for these Americans?

Those who have come to America illegally are not a monolithic demographic. Some are fairly well off, some are not. Some are good citizens, some are not. Some are honest in their quest for citizenship, and some are gaming the system.

A prudent approach to the problem of illegal aliens requires that some basic distinctions be made. It also behooves us not to see them as “poor wretches” who own “nothing.” Indeed, this is true of almost none of them.

CORPORATIONS ASKED TO DROP DEI POLICIES

[Bill Donohue](#)

American culture is going through one of its periodic changes. One good change is the decision of corporations to drop their DEI policies. They are divisive and ineffective. Worse, they create a hostile environment for some workers, including Christians.

The Catholic League staff has acquired the email addresses of the top officials in 552 companies listed by the Human Rights Campaign in its 2023-2024 “Corporate Equality Index” report; all have DEI policies. We are asking them to follow the lead of some prominent corporations and abandon these policies.

Beginning January 20, major changes will be made in the political arena. That is not enough: We need to make changes

in the business community and in the culture as well.

To read the letter, click [here](#).

To read the list of companies, click [here](#).

TWO SOCIAL SCIENTISTS JOIN OUR BOARD OF ADVISORS

[Bill Donohue](#)

Political Scientist Paul G. Kengor and Anthropologist Steven W. Mosher have joined the Catholic League's board of advisors, making our distinguished list even more distinguished. Both write extensively on Communism.

Kengor teaches at Grove City College, outside Pittsburgh, and is a *New York Times* bestselling author. He is also editor-in-chief of *The American Spectator*. His more than 20 books include works on President Reagan and Saint John Paul II, and Karl Marx.

Mosher is president of the Population Research Institute and is known as one of America's most influential students of China. His work detailing human rights abuses in Communist China is expansive and well regarded in Washington and around the world.

Both men are committed Catholics. Paul has eight children, two of which are adopted, and Steve has nine children.

We are delighted to have them both on board.

HEGSETH'S CHRISTIAN TATTOO IS MERITORIOUS

[Bill Donohue](#)

At the confirmation hearing for Pete Hegseth, who was chosen by President-elect Donald Trump to be Secretary of Defense, he was badgered by some Democrats for his Christian chest tattoo. In doing so, they followed the lead of the far-left Daily Beast which started this faux controversy in November.

At issue is the Jerusalem Cross. From the perspective of the uninformed, as well as bigots, the Jerusalem Cross is an extremist symbol. Some of them no doubt are offended by the sight of crèches at Christmastime (we just got finished dealing with that at the Catholic League).

Hegseth correctly said that "It is a Christian symbol." He aptly noted that on the front page of the program commemorating the death of President Jimmy Carter was the same Jerusalem Cross. Would that make Carter and the Democrats "extremist"? Someone should ask Senator Elizabeth Warren—she is the one who is leading this unseemly charge.

Republican Senator Kevin Cramer cleverly picked up on this smear tactic, sarcastically asking Hegseth, "What is this very offensive, racist tattoo?"

This is a bad omen. Trump hasn't even started and some Democrats are stooping so low as to play the anti-Christian card. They are shameless.

CATHOLIC LEAGUE ON CHRISTIAN NATIONALISM

[Americans More Positive About Religion–October 23, 2025](#)

[Demonizing Christian Critics Of The LGBTQ Agenda–October 22, 2025](#)

[Religious Left Okays Anti-Christian Bias–April 15, 2025](#)

[Demonizing Christian Trump Supporters–January 14, 2025](#)

[Christian Bashers Rip Hegseth And Huckabee–November 15, 2024](#)

[Christianity Terrifies Secular Left–October 1, 2024](#)

[The Myth Of Christian Nationalist Violence Part II–September 4, 2024](#)

[The Myth Of Christian Nationalist Violence Part I–September 3, 2024](#)

[Vance's Catholicism Under Fire–July 22, 2024](#)

[Public Concerned About Loss Of Religion–March 19, 2024](#)

[Flawed Survey Demonizes Christians–March 18, 2024](#)

[Oscar For Religiophobia Warranted–March 8, 2024](#)

[Demagoguing Christians In An Election Year–January 3, 2024](#)

[Making Bogeymen Of Christian Nationalism–December 5, 2023](#)

[Christian Bashers Aim Beyond Mike Johnson–November 9, 2023](#)

[The War Against Moms For Liberty–August 21, 2023](#)

[Demonizing Traditional Catholics–August 15, 2022](#)

[American Values Survey Is Hyper-Political–November 4, 2021](#)

[Pew Religion Survey Is Skewed–November 1, 2021](#)

[Lying About Christian Nationalists–August 19, 2021](#)

[Christian Bashers Invent Christian Nationalism–April 5, 2021](#)

[Demonizing White Christians–February 10, 2021](#)

[Biden Team Welcomes Christian Bashers–October 1, 2020](#)

[Christian Nationalism Is A Fiction Part II–September 4, 2019](#)

[Christian Nationalism Is A Fiction Part I–September 3, 2019](#)