CURBING CHOICE IN THE NAME OF CHOICE



Catholic League president Bill Donohue responds to a *New York Times* op-ed page advertisement placed today by Catholics for Choice:

"Choice" has no normative value absent an object, but even then it may carry no moral weight. Choosing chocolate over vanilla is a choice without moral consequence. But choosing to abort one's baby clearly has consequences, both for the woman and her child: for the woman, they are traumatic; for the baby, they are deadly. No Catholic can support such a choice. Indeed, in this instance, the very name "Catholics for Choice" is an oxymoron.

Ironically, the Catholics for Choice advertisement in the *New York Times* focuses exclusively on *limiting* the choices of Catholics: it wants to deny Catholic institutions the right to a religious exemption from healthcare services they cannot in good conscience countenance.

Here's another irony: there really is no organization called Catholics for Choice. It has no members, and is in fact nothing more than a well-funded letterhead, sponsored by the establishment. Over the years, its biggest and most consistent donor has been the Ford Foundation.

One more irony: bigotry has always stained the Ford legacy. Henry Ford was a notorious anti-Semite, and today the Ford Foundation is the most generous donor of anti-Catholic causes. Indeed, the Ford Foundation is so busy working against Catholics that it is currently funding the vile "ants-on-thecrucifix" video at the Brooklyn Museum of Art. Contact: office-of-communications@fordfoundation.org