

CAN BOYS CRASH GIRLS' SPORTS?

The U.S. Supreme Court heard oral arguments in January on whether boys can compete in girls' sports. The very idea that this has to occupy the time of the high court is testimony to the sexual confusion that is widespread not only in America, but in western civilization; the rest of the world is a lot smarter. Adding to the confusion is the Supreme Court itself.

In 2020, Justice Neil Gorsuch wrote the majority opinion in *Bostock v. Clayton County*. He held that Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act prohibits employees from being fired on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity, even though the law only addressed sex. "An individual's homosexuality or transgender status is not relevant to employment decisions," he said.

Justice Samuel Alito wrote a dissent in *Bostock*, joined by Justice Clarence Thomas. He did not take kindly to Gorsuch's dismissive comments. "The Court's brusque refusal to consider the consequences of its reasoning is irresponsible." Indeed, he said, "Before issuing today's radical decision, the Court should have given some thought to where its decision would lead." He got specific.

"The Court may wish to avoid this subject, but it is a matter of concern to many people who are reticent about disrobing or using toilet facilities in the presence of individuals whom they regard as members of the opposite sex. For some, this may simply be a question of modesty, but for others, there is more at stake. For women who have been victimized by sexual assault or abuse, the experience of seeing an unclothed person with the anatomy of a male in a confined and sensitive location such as a bathroom or locker room can cause serious psychological harm."

Again, the fact that such a commonsensical understanding of

human nature has to be articulated before the United States Supreme Court shows how ideologically corrupt we have become. It is not the ordinary American who is the problem—it is elite decision makers.