ABORTION IS NOT TORTURE-NOT HAVING ONE IS

The March 26 editorial in the *New York Times* will go down in history as its most radical defense of abortion. It's hard to see how it can ever top this one. Here is what it said:

"Carrying to term a pregnancy against one's will is punishment enough—in fact, it can amount to torture—according to the United Nations Human Rights Council."

So not being able to abort one's baby is torture, but the mangling of one's baby is not.

The March 26 editorial in the *Wall Street Journal* sheds light on the United Nations Human Rights Council. Here is what it said:

"Syria bombs civilians with chlorine gas, China tortures dissidents, Venezuela restricts access to food and Burma is engaged in ethnic cleansing of a Muslim minority. So naturally the United Nations Human Rights Council trains the bulk of its outrage on...Israel."

So real examples of torture don't seem to bother the United Nations Human Rights Council, but not being allowed to abort one's baby does—it amounts to torture.

This is the mind-set of the pro-abortion industry. The *New York Times* and the United Nations Human Rights Council have become completely unhinged.