



# CATHOLIC LEAGUE

*For Religious  
and  
Civil Rights*

September 7, 2017

**Board of Advisors**

Hadley Arkes  
Brent Bozell  
Gerard Bradley  
Linda Chavez  
Robert Destro  
Keith Fournier  
Laura Garcia  
Robert George  
Mary Ann Glendon  
Dolores Grier  
Alan Keyes  
Stephen Krason  
Lawrence Kudlow  
Thomas Monaghan  
Thomas Reeves  
Patrick Riley  
Robert Royal  
Ronald Rychlak  
Russell Shaw  
William Simon, Jr.  
Joseph Varacalli  
Paul Vitz  
George Weigel

**Chairman**

**Board of Directors**  
Walter Knysz, Jr.

**Board of Directors**

Raymond Arroyo  
Alan Cheskey  
Candace de Russy  
Leonard Oswald  
Francis Schroeder  
John Spellman  
Joe Thompson  
Theodore Vargas  
Richard Walawender

**Vice President**

Bernadette Brady

**President**

William Donohue

Hon. Richard Durbin  
United States Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Durbin:

On September 6, as a member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, you questioned University of Notre Dame Law School professor Amy Coney Barrett about her suitability to sit on the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals. You are entitled, and indeed expected, to thoroughly grill all prospective members of the federal bench. But you crossed the line when you drilled down on her Catholicity.

"Do you consider yourself an orthodox Catholic?" You confessed that though you are "a product of 19 years of Catholic education," you had "never seen [that term] before." Then you asked, "What's an orthodox Catholic?"

Let me help you with this: the term means a Catholic who accepts the teachings of the Catholic Church. That would not include those who reject the Church's teachings on abortion, for example, because the Church regards the intentional killing of innocent human beings to be "intrinsically evil."

What you were really getting at is more important, and more disturbing, than this.

"It is never appropriate for a judge to apply their personal convictions," Professor Barrett said at the hearing, "whether it derives from faith or personal conviction." She could not be more clear—she said it was *never* appropriate to impose her religious convictions on cases before her.

So why did you probe her about the orthodoxy of her Catholicity? Do you similarly probe prospective federal judges who are not Catholic about the orthodoxy of their religious beliefs?


I hasten to add that the term "orthodox" is not confined to Catholics. Indeed, there is actually a branch of Christianity called Orthodox.

This is not the first time you have gone down this road. In 2005, when considering the qualifications of John Roberts for the Supreme Court, you told a CNN correspondent that you need to "look at everything, including the nominee's faith."

Have you ever probed the faith of a non-Catholic for the federal bench? If so, please share the information with me. If not, try treating Catholics—especially orthodox ones—as equals.

I would appreciate a response to the serious issues I have raised. What you did comes perilously close to applying a religious test to professor Barrett, and that is explicitly unconstitutional.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "William A. Donohue". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, prominent loop at the end of the last name.

William A. Donohue, Ph.D.  
President